

**Village of Salado
Salado Municipal Building
301 North Stagecoach Road
Salado, Texas
Minutes of Regular Meeting of Board of Aldermen
June 20, 2019 at 6:30 p.m.**

The Board of Aldermen meeting was called to order at 6:30 p.m. by Mayor Skip Blancett.

Mayor Blancett gave the Invocation and the Board of Aldermen led the Pledge of Allegiance to the United States and Texas flags.

Board Members Present: Mayor Skip Blancett, Mayor Pro-tem Frank Coachman, Aldermen Rodney Bell, Amber Preston Dankert, John Cole, and Michael Coggin.

Staff Present: Village Administrator Don Ferguson and City Secretary Cara McPartland

1. Zoning Board of Adjustment

Discuss and consider possible action regarding an appeal of the Village Administrator's decision regarding site development work at 20 Rock Creek Drive in Salado, Bell County, Texas. *(Mr. & Mrs. James Reed, Appellants)*

Village Administrator Ferguson advised that the appellants have requested to continue this item until the Board's first meeting in August.

Alderman Cole moved to continue this item, as requested. Alderman Dankert seconded. Brief discussion addressed repeated continuances of this item. Motion carried on a vote of 4-1. Alderman Coggin voted against.

At 8:40 p.m., immediately prior to adjournment, Alderman Coggin made a motion to reconsider this item, which was seconded by Alderman Cole. The Board voted unanimously to reconvene as Zoning Board of Adjustment in order to reconsider its previous action to continue this item.

Mayor Blancett recused himself from the meeting at this time due to a possible conflict of interest.

Mayor Pro-tem Coachman assumed duties as presiding officer and convened as the Zoning Board of Adjustment.

Alderman Coggin moved to deny the appeal of the Village Administrator's decision regarding site development work at 20 Rock Creek Drive. Alderman Cole seconded. Motion carried on a vote of 4-1. Alderman Bell voted against.

After Alderman Coggin's motion to adjourn, Mayor Pro-tem Coachman adjourned the Zoning Board of Adjustment and reconvened as the Board of Aldermen.

2. Citizens Communications

No citizen comments were heard.

3. Consent Agenda

- A. Approval of minutes of the Regular Board of Aldermen meeting of June 6, 2019
- B. Approval of minutes of the Special Board of Aldermen meeting of June 4, 2019
- C. Approval of the May 2019 Financial Statements for the Village of Salado

Alderman Coggin moved to approve the Consent Agenda, as presented. Alderman Dankert seconded. Motion carried on a vote of 5-0.

4. Status Reports

A. Village Administrator's Status Report

- Wastewater Project Update

Village Administrator Ferguson reported approximately 26 percent of the properties in the service area have paid all or part of impact fees and are connected or are in the process of connection. Cost estimates are being updated for design and construction of a wastewater line extension to the Salado Public Library, Brookshire Brothers, Salado Plaza, Holiday Inn, and adjacent properties. He anticipated final connection of the Stagecoach Inn to the new Village wastewater system to be completed by the end of next week. The connection of Salado ISD properties to the Village wastewater system is expected to occur August 14, 2019. He said two additional tap locations will be added on Main Street next week, one for the Means property and one for Pace Park Road. He advised the Board will be presented with a change order and anticipated minimal costs.

- Sales Tax Collections for the Village of Salado

Village Administrator Ferguson reported receipt of the June 2019 sales tax check totaling \$39,557 representing April 2019 collections, an increase of twenty-one (21) percent from the same period last year, and the largest June check in the Village's history. He noted fiscal year-to-date collections are up about fourteen percent from the same period last year, and continue to run well ahead of budget. He reminded there are a lot of factors that drive sales tax numbers making it difficult to compare Salado's figures with other cities. He noted a consistent trend of sales tax growth.

- Salado ISD School Resource Officer Program

Village Administrator Ferguson reported on the proposed partnership that would place a Salado Police officer on campuses in Salado ISD. He said the program is being developed for one officer who would rotate among campuses, with most time spent at Salado High School. He said when not performing school-related duties, the designated officer would perform patrol duties. He noted

the cost of this officer would be shared between the Village and Salado ISD, with a proposed agreement to come before the Board in July 2019.

- Salado Art and Cultural District

Village Administrator Ferguson reported on the Village's assumption of management responsibility for the District, as the steering committee serves an advisory role. He spoke on submittal of a grant application seeking approximately \$32,000 from the Texas Commission on the Arts (TCA) to seek funding on boundary and wayfinding signage, along with billboard and print advertising to encourage visitation to the District. He noted this grant has a 50/50 matching requirement, with the Village's match to be paid largely from Hotel Occupancy Tax (HOT) funds and possibly some money from the General Fund. He stated that availability of TCA grant funding is one of the significant benefits of having a designated cultural district.

- Main Street Improvement Project

Village Administrator Ferguson reported crews are nearing completion of installation of storm sewer pipe in front of the Stagecoach Inn. He noted work beginning next week to install storm sewer and sidewalks on the north side of Salado Creek to Thomas Arnold. He advised that sewer work is being coordinated with TxDOT to avoid any type of conflicts with construction.

In addition, Village Administrator Ferguson stated that Salado ISD sent an invitation to the official ground breaking event for its new school to be held on Wednesday, June 26th at 5:00 p.m.

Discussion addressed recent sales tax revenue increases due primarily to retail activity and increased mixed beverage tax revenues. Village Administrator Ferguson also reported that administrative construction plat review is underway for the Sanctuary development and that the development agreement will be available for viewing on the Village's website.

Discussion continued on various waivers and variances included in the Sanctuary development agreement, including those relating to cul-de-sacs, block lengths, signage, sidewalks, lot size, building height, and impervious cover. He said milestone reviews will be conducted to ensure compliance with the development agreement. Alderman Coggin stated his opinion that there is an issue with trust and credibility regarding Sanctuary developers' relationship with the Board. Village Administrator Ferguson advised that the agreement is quite detailed and specific and noted that if the concept plan is modified beyond a certain percentage, then the developer must come before the Board for an amendment.

Additionally, Alderman Coggin asked about the status of gateway signage completion, as he said the unlit signs and landscaping conditions are the most common complaints he hears from residents. Village Administrator Ferguson stressed that the gateway signs are not a Village of Salado project, but a community-driven project, that has run into some funding issues. He said the Village has contributed almost \$20,000 to the project to date. He stated the Village has also assisted by providing a contractor's bid on the revised solar design and acquiring TxDOT approval, but emphasized the gateway signs are not a Village project. Discussion included the amount of time and money spent to date, the need for Board direction on completing the project at Village

expense, and need to amend the Village's budget for such an expenditure, if approved. Although the responsible parties have done an admirable job of design and fundraising, Village Administrator Ferguson felt the Village needs closure on this project and offered to find sufficient funds in the Village's budget at the Board's direction. Alderman Dankert expressed concerns regarding accounting for money spent to date and additional spending by the Village. In response to Alderman Bell, Village Administrator Ferguson replied that the Village's only ongoing obligation upon project completion is to keep the landscaping trimmed at the signage sites. Alderman Coggin and Mayor Blancett agreed to meet with Merle Stalcup on completion of the gateway signs. Discussion established that TxDOT funding must be spent on landscaping only, not the lighting structures. Mayor Pro-tem Coachman felt that the Village should ensure that no one is owed money for any work done on the project before the Village considers assuming any responsibility for its completion.

5. Public Hearing and Possible Action

Hold a public hearing and consider approval of an ordinance approving an application for a conditional use permit submitted by Rosemary Calcese for a fitness center on property zoned Local Retail (LR) located at 113 Salado Plaza Drive in Salado, Bell County, Texas; and providing for findings of fact, amendment of the zoning district map; repealer; severability; effective date; proper notice and meeting. (*Village Administrator*)

Village Administrator Ferguson advised that the application has been withdrawn at the request of the applicant. As such, he requested the Board vote to accept the withdrawal.

Alderman Cole moved to accept the application's withdrawal. Alderman Bell seconded. Motion carried on a vote of 5-0.

6. Ordinances

- A. Consider approval of the second and final reading of an ordinance of the Village of Salado, Texas to annex under Chapter 43 of the Texas Local Government Code, the Williams Road right of way from West Village Road to FM 2484, owned by Bell County as further described in Exhibit A in Bell County, Texas lying adjacent and contiguous to the present corporate limits of the Village of Salado in the Village's extraterritorial jurisdiction in Bell County, into the incorporated municipal boundaries of the Village of Salado, Texas including the following: findings of fact; effective date; repealer; severability; and proper notice and meeting. (*Village Administrator*)

Village Administrator Ferguson explained this is the second and final reading of the proposed ordinance and recommended approval.

Mayor Pro-tem Coachman moved to approve the second and final reading of the ordinance, as presented. Alderman Bell seconded. Motion carried on a vote of 5-0.

- B. Consider approval of the second and final reading of an ordinance of the Village of Salado, Texas to annex under Chapter 43 of the Texas Local Government Code, the Salado School

Road right of way from West Village Road to Thomas Arnold Road, portions of which are owned by Bell County and the Salado Independent School District, as further described in Exhibit A in Bell County, Texas lying adjacent and contiguous to the present corporate limits of the Village of Salado in the Village's extraterritorial jurisdiction in Bell County, into the incorporated municipal boundaries of the Village of Salado, Texas including the following: findings of fact; effective date; repealer; severability; and proper notice and meeting. (*Village Administrator*)

Village Administrator Ferguson explained this is the second and final reading of the proposed ordinance and recommended approval. In response to Alderman Cole, Village Administrator Ferguson replied that this annexation includes rights-of-way on both sides of the roadways.

Alderman Cole moved to approve the second and final reading of the ordinance, as presented. Alderman Coggin seconded. Motion carried on a vote of 5-0.

- C. Consider approval of the second and final reading of an ordinance of the Village of Salado, Texas to voluntarily annex under Chapter 43 of the Texas Local Government Code, property owned by the Salado Independent School District on Williams Road, as further described in Exhibits A and B, in Bell County, Texas, lying adjacent and contiguous to the present corporate limits of the Village of Salado in the Village's extraterritorial jurisdiction in Bell County, into the incorporated municipal boundaries of the Village of Salado, Texas including the following: findings of fact; effective date; repealer; severability; and proper notice and meeting. (*Village Administrator*)

Village Administrator Ferguson explained this is the second and final reading of the ordinance to annex Salado ISD's Williams Road properties, which includes the high school and new school site, and recommended approval.

Mayor Pro-tem Coachman moved to approve the second and final reading of the ordinance, as presented. Alderman Bell seconded. Motion carried on a vote of 5-0.

- D. Consider approval of the second and final reading of an ordinance of the Village of Salado, Texas to voluntarily annex under Chapter 43 of the Texas Local Government Code, property owned by the Salado Independent School District on Salado School Road and Thomas Arnold Road, as further described in Exhibits A and B, in Bell County, Texas, lying adjacent and contiguous to the present corporate limits of the Village of Salado in the Village's extraterritorial jurisdiction in Bell County, into the incorporated municipal boundaries of the Village of Salado, Texas including the following: findings of fact; effective date; repealer; severability; and proper notice and meeting. (*Village Administrator*)

Village Administrator Ferguson explained this is the second and final reading of the ordinance to annex Salado ISD's properties on Salado School Road and Thomas Arnold Road and recommended approval.

Alderman Coggin moved to approve the second and final reading of the ordinance, as presented. Alderman Bell seconded. Motion carried on a vote of 5-0.

Village Administrator Ferguson, Mayor Blancett, and SISD Superintendent Michael Novotny all expressed appreciation to each other for cooperative efforts to complete the annexation process.

7. Workshop

A. Discuss and consider issues relating to assessment and collection of impact fees for the Village of Salado Wastewater System. (*Village Administrator*)

Village Administrator Ferguson provided general information on impact fees; procedures for adoption; projected total impact fee revenue of approximately \$975,000; four-year installment plan for payment of impact fee; range of impact fees from one-LUE customers to the system's largest user (paying \$59,000 in installments); and purpose of impact fees. He introduced HDR Engineering Financial Analyst Grady Reed, who presented background information on the impact fee development process. He explained that impact fees are designed to be a one-time, upfront payment levied on new development to recoup a portion of the cost of developing the capacity within a wastewater system to serve that development. Because the Village does not have an existing system and has constructed a new system, existing homes/businesses are levied an impact fee as new customers. He explained how the maximum allowable impact fee is calculated and said there are three available sources of revenue to pay for the system's operations, maintenance, and debt service: wastewater rates charges to customers based on usage; impact fees; and ad valorem (property) taxes. He noted the importance of balancing these three revenue sources to arrive at manageable user rates while maintaining sufficient revenue for debt service and operations/maintenance; sharing of costs among users and taxpayers; higher cost paid by users directly benefitting from the system; lessening of tax burden through impact fees; importance of fairness in rate/fee-setting.

Discussion included: need for imposing an impact fee; uses of impact fee revenue; prioritizing expenditures of impact fee revenue (for example, debt service/tax rate reduction or plant expansion); possible elimination of impact fee; debt restructuring; impact of future growth; ability of businesses to expand when connected to system; statutory limitations on expenditure of impact fee revenue; recommended maximum/minimum LUE amounts; four-year interest-free impact fee installment payments; 12-month extension of deadline to decommission private septic systems; Village's purchase of grinder pumps for customers requiring them; relationship between impact fee and tax rate; concerns regarding loss of businesses due to inability to pay impact fees; ability of Board to change impact fees for future customers (those connecting after the initial service period); and need for a financial advisor briefing to Board members.

Alderman Dankert cited excerpts from an article sent to Board members by Tim Fleisher (*Impact Fee Handbook* prepared for the National Association of Home Builders), specifically a 3-pronged test used in substantive due process cases. She cited specific arguments challenging due process such as whether the impact fee is rationally related to a legitimate public purpose, whether the means adopted to achieve this purpose were reasonably necessary, and whether the regulation is unduly oppressive on the property owner. Village Administrator Ferguson stated the impact fees

adopted by the Board were well-studied, well-reasoned, and meet all statutory requirements and procedures. He said it would be a court's decision to determine if fees are oppressive. He said the Board's decision is straightforward, that is, to ask if it believes in the fee's purpose, the amount of the fee, whether to require or not require payment of an impact fee, or to modify the fee. Discussion continued on the relative burdens of impact fees on those directly benefitting by connection to the system versus those who will receive no wastewater service. Mayor Blancett favored holding a workshop meeting on Tuesday, June 25th to discuss this issue in the presence of the Village's financial advisors.

Mayor Blancett asked Tim Fleischer if he desired to speak at this time.

Mr. Tim Fleischer of 912 Cedar Park Circle, spoke as secretary of the Salado Masonic Lodge and referenced the aforementioned *Impact Fee Handbook* distributed to Board members. He referred to the impact fee as an unlawful tax and cited impact fee purposes, new development, and an Arizona Supreme Court case challenging impact fees. He cited the Texas Local Government Code §395.016(c) as follows: "This subsection applies only to impact fees after June 20, 1987. For new development which is platted in accordance with Subchapter A, Chapter 212, or the subdivision or platting procedures of a political subdivision before the adoption of an impact fee, an impact fee may not be collected on any service unit for which a valid building permit is issued within one year of the date of adoption of the impact fee." He said Bell County Appraisal District records show several subdivisions, including O.T. Tyler Subdivision, with lots platted and accepted before 1987. He stated his understanding that all those property owners have to do is come in and turn in a building permit without the Village applying an impact fee. He said voters approved \$10.55 million in bonds to pay for the sewer. He spoke of the legislative reasoning for Texas LGC §395.016 and cited listed items not payable with impact fee revenue. Village Administrator Ferguson advised that the Village's impact fee adoption procedures were fully reviewed by legal counsel and found all proper procedures were taken.

Discussion addressed a possible scheduling of a workshop. Alderman Coggin spoke in favor of leaving the Village's impact fee policy in place with no changes.

- B. Discuss and consider issues relating to the mandatory connection requirements for the Village of Salado Wastewater System. (*Village Administrator*)

Village Administrator Ferguson spoke of an increase in connection activity as the initial deadline nears, but felt there is a need to recommend a 90-day extension, due to some property owners only recently becoming acquainted with the connection process and the demand placed on local plumbing services. He noted that an extension means the Village will have to cover more of the operations costs in the interim.

Discussion addressed anticipated number of connections within 90 days, penalties for non-connection, and possible ways to lessen burden on taxpayers not receiving Village wastewater service.

8. Discussion and Possible Action

- A. Discuss and consider possible action regarding changes to the mandatory connection requirements for the Village of Salado Wastewater System. (*Village Administrator*)

Mayor Pro-tem Coachman moved to extend the mandatory connection deadline to October 1, 2019, with the stipulation that the opportunity for payment of the impact fee in four annual installments ends on October 1, 2019. Alderman Coggin seconded. Motion carried on a vote of 5-0.

- B. Discuss and consider possible action regarding changes in the assessment and collection of impact fees for the Village of Salado Wastewater System. (*Village Administrator*)

Alderman Coggin moved to leave the current assessment and collection of impact fees for the Village of Salado Wastewater System in place with no changes, except for the abovementioned approved extension. Mayor Pro-tem Coachman seconded. Motion carried on a vote of 3-2. Aldermen Dankert and Bell voted against.

- C. Discuss and consider possible action regarding a request from Salado Masonic Lodge #296 A.F. & A.M. to be exempt from the connection requirement for the Village of Salado Wastewater System. (*Village Administrator*)

Village Administrator Ferguson noted this item was continued from the Board's last meeting.

Discussion between Village Administrator Ferguson and Alderman Cole addressed the importance of treating the entire customer base equally.

Brian Arnett of 10664 Brewer Road, speaking on behalf of the Salado Masonic Lodge, stated the Lodge is being forced to connect, is not a business, and is a non-profit organization. He said the Lodge reinvests any money back into Salado through scholarships, awards, and charitable donations. He stated the Lodge uses less than 20 gallons of water per month with very little impact on the system. He asked that the Lodge not be asked to pay the impact fee, as it would be an oppressive burden.

Tim Fleischer spoke in favor of having an exemption process for unique, different, and exceptional properties. He said the Masonic Lodge property is unique because: it is a 152 year-old institution; housed in a 140 year-old building; property is very small in size; there is minimal water usage (20 gallons per month); inability to change the purpose of the property; agreement to connect and pay impact fee if property usage changes in the future, or if the property is sold. Mr. Fleischer asked that the Lodge be exempt from connection, and if such exemption is not approved, then he asked the Lodge be exempt from paying an impact fee. If required to connect, he asked that the Lodge's monthly billing be classified as "residential" or "minimalist user," otherwise he said the Lodge would be paying over \$1.50 per gallon. He felt these considerations make an exemption for the Salado Masonic Lodge property a wise decision. He quoted a \$2,600 connection cost that he said represents all of the money the Lodge collects in dues.

Alderman Bell moved to exempt the Salado Masonic Lodge from connecting to the Salado Wastewater System. Village Administrator Ferguson advised the motion should state a public

purpose for the exemption and its impact on the customer base as a whole. Alderman Coggin seconded. Village Administrator Ferguson reminded the motion must include a stated public purpose such as non-profit or low water usage status. He advised that the Board will need to consider adopting a uniform policy to address other similar properties, as there are other non-profit organizations and low usage customers in Salado.

Alderman Bell moved to exempt the Salado Masonic Lodge from connection as a non-profit organization using less than 50 gallons of water per month and to direct staff to develop a policy that would apply to other non-profit organizations in Salado. Alderman Coggin seconded.

Alderman Dankert said there is a residential homeowner with zero water usage, but is not a non-profit organization, which creates a “slippery slope.” She asked who else should be exempted from connecting. Alderman Coggin stated his understanding that this item was just to specifically exempt the Masonic Lodge, not for a category of users. Village Administrator Ferguson reminded that the motion has to declare a public purpose before exempting customers from connection and in doing so, the Board must be careful to not take action that can be seen as discriminatory. Alderman Dankert questioned how this action could not be seen as discriminatory and expressed concerns about lawsuits.

Mayor Blancett called for a vote as follows: Aldermen Bell and Coggin voted aye; Aldermen Cole, Dankert and Mayor Pro-tem Coachman voted against. Motion failed on a vote of 2-3.

- D. Discuss and consider possible action regarding plans for Independence Day fireworks display on July 6, 2019 in Salado, Texas. (*Village Administrator*)

Village Administrator Ferguson recommended approval of the plans, as presented. He said the annual display is organized and funded by Mill Creek Golf Course operator Billy Helm and noted Salado Fire Department has approved the plans.

Alderman Cole moved to approve the plans, as presented. Alderman Dankert seconded. Motion carried on a vote of 5-0.

- E. Discuss and consider possible action authorizing the transfer of title and ownership of a surplus 2010 Salado Police Department pick-up truck to the Belton ISD’s Career and Technical Education Auto Technology Program. (*Village Administrator*)

Village Administrator Ferguson stated that the Board declared the subject vehicle to be surplus property at its last meeting, as it is not cost-effective to repair due to high mileage and major mechanical problems. Rather than trying to sell the vehicle at auction, Salado ISD was approached about possibly using the vehicle for student training purposes, but does not have an auto tech program in place at this time. He said Belton ISD was contacted and does have an auto technology program that could use the vehicle for educational purposes. He recommended the Board authorize the transfer of title and ownership of the surplus vehicle to Belton ISD. Alderman Coggin thought the Board authorized staff to dispose of the vehicle at its last meeting when the vehicle was declared surplus property. Village Administrator Ferguson replied the Board’s authorization is required for the title to be transferred.

Mayor Pro-tem Coachman moved to approve the transfer of title and ownership of a surplus 2010 Salado Police Department pick-up truck to the Belton ISD's Career and Technical Education Auto Technology Program. Alderman Coggin seconded. Motion carried on a vote of 5-0.

- F. Discuss and consider possible action to either cancel or change the meeting date for the Regular Board of Aldermen meeting scheduled for Thursday, July 4, 2019. (*Mayor Skip Blancett*)

Village Administrator Ferguson advised the Board needs to consider cancelling or changing the regular meeting date from Thursday, July 4, 2019 (a designated Village of Salado holiday) to a different date. After discussion of various dates, the Board agreed to meet on Wednesday, July 3, 2019 at 6:30 p.m.

Alderman Coggin moved to hold a Special Board of Aldermen meeting on Wednesday, July 3, 2019 at 6:30 p.m., and cancel the Thursday, July 4, 2019 meeting. Alderman Cole seconded. Motion carried on a vote of 5-0. Further discussion addressed a possible Special workshop meeting on Tuesday, June 25th regarding wastewater impact fees and scheduling of the Board's Goals and Priorities workshop.

Addendum to Agenda

6. Ordinances

- E. Consider approval of the first reading of an ordinance of the Village of Salado Texas, amending Ordinance No. 2015.02; amending the method for calculation of the fee charged in the Village of Salado for residential building permits and inspection fees; providing for severability; repealing conflicting ordinances and providing for findings of fact, an effective date, and proper notice and meeting. (*Village Administrator*)

Village Administrator Ferguson explained Texas House Bill 852 mandates that cities across the State of Texas change their building permit fee process, if based on project valuation. He said the new legislation modifies how cities can calculate building permit fees. He stated most cities base fees on the project's value, but the new statute states cities cannot base the permit fee on the value of the dwelling or the cost of the construction improving the dwelling. He proposed using fee calculation methodology using the improvement's square footage and stressed that this new law only relates to residential single family home construction. Examples were given of permit fees for various square footages reflecting the new fee structure. Discussion addressed handling of current building permits, possible adjustments for permits issued since the new State law became effective, percentage range of fee increases, and applicability of fee changes only to residential buildings, not accessory buildings.

Alderman Cole moved to approve the ordinance on first reading, as presented. Mayor Pro-tem Coachman seconded and offered a friendly amendment to add language to the ordinance clarifying fees for accessory buildings. Alderman Cole accepted Mayor Pro-tem Coachman's friendly amendment. Alderman Dankert seconded. Motion, as amended, carried on a vote of 5-0.

8. Discussion and Possible Action

- G. Discuss and consider approval of a proposed amendment to the professional service agreement between the Village of Salado and Bureau Veritas relating to fees charged for residential building plan review and inspection services. (*Village Administrator*)

Village Administrator Ferguson recommended approval of the amendment reflecting square footage-based plan review and inspection fees for residential construction or residential improvements.

Mayor Pro-tem Coachman moved to approve the amendment to the professional service agreement between the Village of Salado and Bureau Veritas relating to fees charged for residential building plan review and inspection services, as presented. Alderman Dankert seconded. Motion carried on a vote of 5-0.

Mayor Blancett asked if Margaret Blanton would like to speak at this time.

Margaret Blanton of 231 N. Main Street spoke of her family's long ownership history of the subject property and residence, which is unoccupied. She asked that the Board consider exempting her family's property and not just look at exempting non-profit charitable organizations. She appreciated the Village providing the needed grinder pump, but noted that hiring an electrician and a plumber will cost about \$3,500. She hoped that the Board would consider allowing applications for exemptions.

Village Administrator Ferguson spoke of tomorrow morning's award ceremony at Texas Department of Public Safety headquarters in Austin recognizing Salado Police Officer Chris Dunshie and Trooper Daniel Michael for their life-saving actions.

Immediately before adjournment, Alderman Coggin requested to reconsider action taken on Agenda Item 1. Alderman Coggin moved to have the Board reconvene as Zoning Board of Adjustment in order to reconsider Agenda Item 1. Alderman Cole seconded. Motion carried on a vote of 5-0. (See italics, Agenda Item 1)

Adjournment

After the Zoning Board of Adjustment reconsidered and took action on Agenda Item 1, Mayor Pro-tem Coachman reconvened as the Board of Aldermen.

Alderman Bell moved to adjourn. Alderman Dankert seconded. Mayor Pro-tem Coachman called the meeting adjourned at 8:45 p.m.

Recorded by:

Cara McPartland

These minutes approved on the 3rd of July, 2019.

APPROVED:


Skip Blanchett, Mayor

ATTEST:


Cara McPartland, City Secretary

